

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/598,972	BRIGGS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ROBERT W. HORN	2832	

All Participants:

(1) ROBERT W. HORN.

(2) Melissa L. B. Lyons, Esquire.

Date of Interview: 7 December 2009

Status of Application: response to non-final action

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 11:00

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

obviousness

Claims discussed:

1, 3, 4, 9, and 25

Prior art documents discussed:

prior art of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the examiner requested an interview by fax on 12/4/2009, and proposed amendments substantially as posted in the action for examiner's amendment. The examiner called the attorney and confirmed that there was general interest, contingent on the interest by the applicant. In the official interview minor tweaking to the claim language resulted in the examiner's proposal. The phrase "interposed planar web" was changed to --interposed web--. Claims 3 and 4 reverted to submitted form. The phrase "unitary" modifying "body" was struck.

The state of the claims was accepted as modified and the examiner agreed to submit these by examiner's amendment and allow the case to advance prosecution.